

# **Clinical Guidelines for Stroke Management 2017**

**Chapter 1 of 8:  
Pre-hospital care**

**Main editor**

Stroke Foundation

**Publishing and version history**

v6.4 published on 07.11.2019

This is the first in a series of eight chapters that provide evidence-based recommendations for management of stroke and TIA in adults.

**Contact**

Stroke Foundation  
Melbourne, Victoria, Australia  
guidelines@strokefoundation.org.au  
+61396701000

**Sponsors/Funding**

The Stroke Foundation gratefully acknowledges the financial assistance provided by the Australian Government Department of Health. The development of the final recommendations has not been influenced by the views or interests of the funding body.

**Disclaimer**

These Clinical Guidelines are a general guide to appropriate practice, to be followed subject to the clinician's judgment and the patient's preference in each individual case. The Clinical Guideline is designed to provide information to assist decision-making and are based on the best evidence available at the time of development. The Clinical Guidelines can be viewed at [www.informme.org.au](http://www.informme.org.au) - Citation: Stroke Foundation. Clinical Guidelines for Stroke Management 2017. Melbourne Australia. © No part of this publication can be reproduced by any process without permission from the Stroke Foundation. August 2017.

# Sections

Summary of recommendations.....	4
Introduction.....	5
Methodology.....	8
Clinical question.....	10
Pre-hospital care.....	11
Glossary and abbreviations.....	17
References.....	23

## Summary of recommendations

### Introduction

### Methodology

### Clinical question

### Pre-hospital care

#### Strong Recommendation

All stroke patients eligible for reperfusion therapies should have an ambulance dispatched as an immediate response and be managed as a time critical emergency. (Berglund et al 2012 [7])

#### Strong Recommendation

- a. Ambulance services should preferentially transfer suspected stroke patients to a hospital capable of delivering reperfusion therapies as well as stroke unit care. (O'Brien et al 2012 [15])
- b. Ambulance services should pre-notify the hospital of a suspected stroke case where the patient may be eligible for reperfusion therapies. (O'Brien et al 2012 [15])

Recommendation Strength Not Set

#### **Practice point**

General practitioners are encouraged to educate reception staff in the FAST stroke recognition message and to redirect any calls about suspected acute stroke to 000.

## Glossary and abbreviations

## Introduction

The Stroke Foundation is a national charity that partners with the community to prevent, treat and beat stroke. We stand alongside stroke survivors and their families, healthcare professionals and researchers. We build community awareness and foster new thinking and innovative treatments. We support survivors on their journey to live the best possible life after stroke.

We are the voice of stroke in Australia and we work to:

- Raise awareness of the risk factors, signs of stroke and promote healthy lifestyles.
- Improve treatment for stroke to save lives and reduce disability.
- Improve life after stroke for survivors.
- Encourage and facilitate stroke research.
- Advocate for initiatives to prevent, treat and beat stroke.
- Raise funds from the community, corporate sector and government to continue our mission.

The Stroke Foundation has been developing stroke guidelines since 2002 and in 2017 released the fourth edition. In order for the Australian Government to ensure up-to-date, best-practice clinical advice is provided and maintained to healthcare professionals, the NHMRC requires clinical guidelines be kept current and relevant by reviewing and updating them at least every five years. As a result, the Stroke Foundation, in partnership with Cochrane Australia, is testing a model of living guidelines, in which recommendations are continually reviewed and updated in response to new evidence. This project commenced in July 2018 and is currently being funded by the Australian Government via the Medical Research Future Fund.

This online version of the *Clinical Guidelines for Stroke Management* updates and supersedes the Clinical Guidelines for Stroke Management 2017. The Clinical Guidelines have been updated in accordance with the 2011 NHMRC *Standard for clinical practice guidelines* and therefore recommendations are based on the best evidence available. The Clinical Guidelines cover the whole continuum of stroke care, across 8 chapters.

Review of the Clinical Guidelines used an internationally recognised guideline development approach, known as GRADE (Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation), and an innovative guideline development and publishing platform, known as MAGICapp (Making Grade the Irresistible Choice). GRADE ensures a systematic process is used to develop recommendations that are based on the balance of benefits and harms, patient values, and resource considerations. MAGICapp enables transparent display of this process and access to additional practical information useful for guideline recommendation implementation.

### Purpose

The *Clinical Guidelines for Stroke Management* provides a series of best-practice recommendations to assist decision-making in the management of stroke and transient ischaemic attack (TIA) in adults, using the best available evidence. The Clinical Guidelines should not be seen as an inflexible recipe for stroke management; rather, they provide a guide to appropriate practice to be followed subject to clinical judgment and patient preferences.

### Scope

The Clinical Guidelines cover the most critical topics for effective management of stroke, relevant to the Australian context, and include aspects of stroke management across the continuum of care including pre-hospital, assessment and diagnosis, acute medical and surgical management, secondary prevention, rehabilitation, discharge planning, community participation, and management of TIA. Some issues are dealt with in more detail, particularly where current management is at variance with best practice, or where the evidence needs translation into practice.

The Clinical Guidelines do not cover:

- Subarachnoid haemorrhage;
- Stroke in infants, children and youth, i.e. <18 years old (refer to Australian Childhood Stroke Advisory Committee, *Guideline for the diagnosis and acute management of childhood stroke - 2017*, and Victorian Subacute Childhood Stroke Advisory Committee, *Guideline for the subacute management of childhood stroke - 2019*, <https://informme.org.au/Guidelines/Childhood-stroke-guidelines>); or
- Primary prevention of stroke. (Refer to *Guidelines for the management of absolute cardiovascular disease risk 2012* (National Vascular Disease Prevention Alliance [5]) - <https://informme.org.au/en/Guidelines/Guidelines-for-the-assessment-and-management-of-absolute-CVD-risk>, and *Guideline for the diagnosis and management of hypertension in adults 2016* (Heart Foundation [6]) - <https://www.heartfoundation.org.au/for-professionals/clinical-information/hypertension>).

## Target audience

The Clinical Guidelines are intended for use by healthcare professionals, administrators, funders and policy makers who plan, organise and deliver care for people with stroke or TIA during all phases of recovery.

## Development

The Guidelines are published in eight separate chapters:

[Pre-hospital care](#)

[Early assessment and diagnosis](#)

[Acute medical and surgical management](#)

[Secondary prevention](#)  
[Rehabilitation](#)  
[Managing complications](#)  
[Discharge planning and transfer of care](#)  
[Community participation and long-term care](#)

The Clinical Guidelines have been developed according to processes prescribed by the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) under the direction of an interdisciplinary working group. Refer to the document on [InformMe](#) that details the Interdisciplinary Working Group Membership and Terms of Reference.

## Use

The primary goal of the Clinical Guidelines is to help healthcare professionals improve the quality of the stroke care they provide. Refer to documents on [InformMe](#) that provide 2-page summaries of the Clinical Guidelines – one for healthcare professionals, and one for consumers.

Guidelines differ from clinical or care pathways (also referred to as critical pathways, care paths, integrated care pathways, case management plans, clinical care pathways or care maps). Guidelines are an overview of the current best evidence translated into clinically relevant statements. Care pathways are based on best practice guidelines but provide a local link between the guidelines and their use.

In considering implementation of the Guidelines at a local level, healthcare professionals are encouraged to identify the barriers, enablers and facilitators to evidence-based practice within their own environment and determine the best strategy for local needs. Where change is required, initial and ongoing education is essential and is relevant to all recommendations in the Guidelines.

Refer to the document on [InformMe](#) that summarises all the Clinical Guidelines recommendations.

## Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander People

Refer to the document on [InformMe](#) for information regarding Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people.

## Decision-making

Stroke survivors should be treated in accordance with the principles of shared decision-making contained within the *Acute Stroke Care Clinical Standard*, *Acute Stroke Services Framework 2019* and *Rehabilitation Stroke Services Framework 2013*, which include, among other things, that treatment should be patient-centred. Therefore, stroke survivors should be involved in decisions about their care at all times; but where they do not have capacity, or have limited capacity, family members should be involved in the decision-making.

## Consent

The principles of informed consent underpin these Clinical Guidelines and therefore the wording of the recommendations are directed at the healthcare professional; that is, the intervention should/may be used, rather than offered, for the stroke patient. For patients with aphasia and/or cognitive disorders requiring formal consent, easy English or aphasia-friendly written versions of an information sheet and consent form should be offered and clearly explained to patients and their families in order to assist understanding and agreement.

## Endorsement

The Clinical Guidelines have been endorsed (based on the 2017 version) by a number of organisations and associations. Refer to the document on [InformMe](#) that details the organisations formally endorsing the Clinical Guidelines.

## Evidence gaps

Refer to the document on [InformMe](#) that details the gaps in evidence identified, noting areas for further research.

## Reports

Refer to documents on [InformMe](#) - Technical Report, Administrative Report and Dissemination and Implementation Report.

## Resources

Refer to documents on [InformMe](#) that provide supporting resources to assist with implementation of the Clinical Guidelines.

## Publication Approval



**Australian Government**

**National Health and Medical Research Council**

These guideline recommendations were approved by the Chief Executive Officer of the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) on 25 July 2017, with subsequent amendments approved on 22 November 2017, 9 July 2018 (updated recommendations for Neurointervention), and 7 November 2019 (updated recommendations for Thrombolysis, Acute antiplatelet therapy, and Patent foramen ovale management) under Section 14A of the National Health and Medical Research Council Act 1992. In approving the guidelines

recommendations the NHMRC considers that they meet the NHMRC standard for clinical practice guidelines. This approval is valid for a period of five years.

NHMRC is satisfied that the guideline recommendations are systematically derived, based on identification and synthesis of the best available scientific evidence and are developed for health professionals practising in an Australian health care setting.

This publication reflects the views of the authors and not necessarily the views of the Australian Government.

#### **Disclaimer**

These Clinical Guidelines are a general guide to appropriate practice, to be followed subject to the clinician's judgment and the patient's preference in each individual case. The Clinical Guidelines are designed to provide information to assist decision-making and are based on the best evidence available at the time of development.

#### **Funding**

The Stroke Foundation gratefully acknowledges the financial assistance provided by the Australian Government, Medical Research Future Fund. The development of the final recommendations has not been influenced by the views or interests of the funding body.

#### **Citation**

Stroke Foundation. Clinical Guidelines for Stroke Management. Available at <https://informme.org.au/en/Guidelines/Clinical-Guidelines-for-Stroke-Management>. Accessed [insert date, month and year and if applicable specific sections or chapters].

© No part of this publication can be reproduced by any process without permission from the Stroke Foundation. November2019.

## Methodology

### Brief summary of GRADE

The Guidelines were developed following the GRADE methodology (Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluation).

GRADE methodology includes four factors to guide the development of a recommendation and determine the strength of that recommendation:

1. The balance between desirable and undesirable consequences.
2. Confidence in the estimates of effect (quality of evidence).
3. Confidence in values and preferences and their variability (clinical and consumer preferences).
4. Resource use (cost and implementation considerations).

For full details of how GRADE is used for developing clinical recommendations, refer to the GRADE handbook, available at:

<http://gdt.guidelinedevelopment.org/app/handbook/handbook.html>.

### Strength of recommendations

The GRADE process uses only two categories for the strength of recommendations, based on how confident the guideline panel is that the “desirable effects of an intervention outweigh undesirable effects [...] across the range of patients for whom the recommendation is intended” (GRADE Handbook):

- **Strong** recommendations: where guideline authors are certain that the evidence supports a clear balance towards either desirable or undesirable effects; or
- **Weak** recommendations: where the guideline panel is uncertain about the balance between desirable and undesirable effects.

These strong or weak recommendations can either be for or against an intervention. If the recommendation is against an intervention this means it is recommended NOT to do that intervention. There are a number of recommendations where we have stated that the intervention may only be used in the context of research. We have done this because these are guidelines for clinical practice, and while the intervention cannot be recommended as standard practice at the current time, we recognise there is good rationale to continue further research.

The implications of a strong or weak recommendation for a particular treatment are summarised in the GRADE handbook as follows:

*Table 1: Implications of GRADE recommendation categories (for a positive recommendation) for patients, clinicians and policy makers. Source: GRADE Handbook (<http://gdt.guidelinedevelopment.org/app/handbook/handbook.html>)*

	Strong Recommendation	Weak Recommendation
<b>For patients</b>	Most individuals in this situation would want the recommended course of action and only a small proportion would not.	The majority of individuals in this situation would prefer the suggested course of action, but many would not.
<b>For clinicians</b>	Most individuals should receive the recommended course of action. Adherence to this recommendation according to the guideline could be used as a quality criterion or performance indicator. Formal decision aids are not likely to be needed to help individuals make decisions consistent with their values and preferences.	Recognise that different choices will be made by different patients, and that you must help each patient arrive at a management decision consistent with their values and preferences. Decision aids may be useful in helping individuals make decisions consistent with their values and preferences. Clinicians may expect to spend more time with patients towards a decision.
<b>For policy makers</b>	The recommendation can be adapted as policy in most situations including for the use as performance indicators.	Policy making will require substantial discussion and involvement of many stakeholders. Policies may be more likely to vary between regions. Performance indicators would have to focus on the process of adequate deliberation about the management decision that has taken place.

For topics where there is either a lack of evidence or insufficient quality of evidence on which to base a recommendation but the guideline

panel believed advice should be made, statements were developed based on consensus and expert opinion (guided by any underlying or indirect evidence). These statements are labelled as 'Practice statements' and correspond to 'consensus-based recommendations' outlined in the NHMRC procedures and requirements.

For topics outside the search strategy (i.e. where no systematic literature search was conducted), additional considerations are provided. These are labelled 'Info Box' and correspond to 'practice points' outlined in the NHMRC procedures and requirements.

## Explanation of absolute effect estimates used

The standardised evidence profile tables presented in the Clinical Guidelines include "Absolute effect estimates" for dichotomous outcomes. These represent the number of people per 1000 people expected to have the outcome in the control and intervention groups. This estimated risk in people receiving the intervention is based on a relative effect estimate which might be adjusted, e.g. to account for baseline differences between participants or when effect estimates have been pooled from different studies in a systematic review and adjusted to account for the variance of each individual estimate. Therefore, this estimated risk in the intervention group may differ from the raw estimate of the intervention group risk from the corresponding study. The estimated risk reflects the best estimate of the risk in the relevant population, relative to the risk observed among patients receiving the control or comparator intervention.

Wherever possible (i.e. when the relevant study reported enough information to allow the calculation to be done), these estimates were calculated using the following procedure:

1. Obtain the relative effect estimate (odds ratio or relative risk) and confidence interval from the best available study (systematic review or primary study) providing evidence about the effects of the intervention.

2. Use the observed number of events in the control group of the same study to calculate a baseline risk per 1000 people (or "assumed control risk").

3. Calculate an estimate of the corresponding risk per 1000 in people receiving the intervention using the relative effect estimate. This can be done using methods based on the formulas for calculating absolute risk reductions provided in the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* (<http://handbook.cochrane.org/>). Applying the same calculations to the upper and lower bounds of the confidence interval for the relative effect estimate gives a confidence interval for the risk in the intervention group, which is then used to calculate the confidence interval for the difference per 1000 people, reported in the evidence tables.

## Cost effectiveness summaries

There are several important points to consider when interpreting the cost-effectiveness information provided in the *Resources and Other Considerations* sections of the Clinical Guidelines.

Firstly, an intervention can be cost-effective without being cost-saving. This means that although there is an additional cost for the health benefits gained from the intervention, the intervention is still considered worthwhile. The incremental cost-effectiveness ratios (ICER) presented (e.g. cost per quality adjusted life year gained) are an indication of the cost-effectiveness or "value-for-money", with lower ICERs indicating better cost-effectiveness of an intervention.

Secondly, whether or not the intervention is cost-effective is a judgment call; and should reflect a society's willingness-to-pay to have the intervention for the potential outcomes achieved. An ICER that is approximately or equivalent to US\$50,000 has been commonly used by researchers in the past as a threshold for judging an intervention as being cost-effective (<http://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMp1405158#t=article>). However, no scientific basis for this threshold exists and actual willingness-to-pay may differ. For example, in a survey of 1000 Australian respondents conducted in 2007, the willingness-to-pay for an additional quality adjusted life year in Australia was estimated to be \$64,000 (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19382128>).

Thirdly, there is no absolute threshold for determining whether an intervention should be funded based on the ICER (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5153921/>). ICERs are only one of the major factors considered in priority setting (the process to decide which interventions should be funded within a given resource constraint). Other considerations include affordability, budget impact, fairness, feasibility and other factors that are important in the local context (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5153921/>).

Lastly, in areas where there are no data from economic evaluations that support the recommendations or practice statements, it remains unclear whether the additional costs of providing the intervention above usual care for the additional potential benefits obtained is justified. However, this should not detract from implementing the Clinical Guideline recommendations.

## Use of language related to timing of interventions

**Immediate:** without delay, or within minutes, not hours (life critical action required).

**Urgent:** minutes to several hours (immediate action but not life critical).

**Very early:** within hours and up to 24 hours.

**Early:** within 48 hours.

For all Clinical Guideline recommendations we make the assumption that healthcare professionals will be appropriately qualified and skilled to carry out the intervention.

## Clinical question

1. What interventions by paramedics improve outcomes for people with stroke?

## Pre-hospital care

Early recognition of stroke symptoms, the subsequent response of individuals to having a stroke or transient ischaemic attack (TIA), and the timing and method by which people are transferred to hospital are critical to ensuring optimal outcomes for patients.

In this hyper-acute phase of care, the ambulance service has a central coordinating role as typically 70% of stroke patients arrive by ambulance (Stroke Foundation 2015 [18]). In 2015, hospitals reported only 34% of patients arrived at hospital within 3-hours of stroke onset (Stroke Foundation 2015 [18]). Stroke patients should not only receive a high triage priority, comparable to other similarly lethal or disabling medical emergencies, but the ambulance service should also facilitate early notification to the receiving hospital and ensure that a hospital with a stroke unit is selected, where possible.

Currently, 60% of Australian hospitals report arrangements with local ambulance services for emergency/rapid transfer to hospital for stroke patients with acute stroke over and above the regular system, and 7% report no arrangements but have agreement to bypass a hospital for another stroke specific service (Stroke Foundation 2015 [18]). Ambulance services throughout Australia are state-based and have differing geographic, clinical and administrative arrangements. Ambulance services should continue to work closely with their local clinical networks to establish pre-notification strategies for stroke.

### Strong Recommendation

All stroke patients eligible for reperfusion therapies should have an ambulance dispatched as an immediate response and be managed as a time critical emergency. (Berglund et al 2012 [7])

### Practical Info

Local stroke networks and emergency services should develop protocols that operationalise this recommendation with respect to regional resources. Suspected stroke patients who may be eligible for reperfusion therapy should be allocated to the highest priority and managed accordingly.

### Key Info

#### Benefits and harms

Substantial net benefits of the recommended alternative

A single study, well conducted, terminated early having reached statistical significance (Berglund et al 2012 [7]). The study supports dispatching suspected stroke cases as priority one and supports upgrading to priority one when suspected cases are encountered by ambulance crews. No harms were identified, specifically no adverse impact on response times for the rest of the ambulance service.

#### Certainty of the Evidence

Moderate

Good quality single randomised controlled trial terminated early as it reached statistical significance. We are moderately confident the effect estimates are a true representation of the results.

#### Preference and values

No substantial variability expected

It is expected that all suspected stroke patients would want to be managed with highest level of priority.

#### Resources and other considerations

No important issues with the recommended alternative

##### Resources considerations

No literature to understand or describe the potential economic implications of this recommendation was identified.

##### Implementation considerations

There are clinical indicators collected in the National Stroke Audit on the median time from stroke onset to the patient's arrival in the emergency department, and whether or not patients arrived by ambulance. There is also an organisational indicator collected on whether hospitals have arrangements in place with local ambulance services for the rapid transfer of patients with acute stroke over and above the regular system. For hospitals where there are no arrangements in place, an organisational indicator is collected to determine whether there is an agreement to bypass the hospital for another stroke-specific service.

## Rationale

Ambulances dispatched as an immediate response will result in reduced time to hospital arrival and allow for more patients to be considered for reperfusion therapies.

### Clinical Question/ PICO

<b>Population:</b>	Adults with suspected stroke
<b>Intervention:</b>	Emergency medical dispatch - priority Level 1 (immediate ambulance dispatch)
<b>Comparator:</b>	Emergency medical dispatch - standard priority (Level 2 - within 30 minutes)

### Summary

A single, well-conducted study by Berglund et al (2012) [7], was terminated as it reached statistical significance early. The study supports dispatching suspected stroke cases priority one and supports upgrading to priority one when non-suspected cases are encountered by ambulance crews. No harms were identified, specifically no adverse impact on response times for the rest of the ambulance service.

**NB:** Berglund et al (2012) did not report an odds ratio for the thrombolysis frequency outcome. The relative effect estimate used here was manually calculated from the reported numbers of events.

Outcome Timeframe	Study results and measurements	Absolute effect estimates		Certainty of the Evidence (Quality of evidence)	Plain text summary
		Level 2 - within 30 minutes	Immediate ambulance dispatch		
Thrombolysis frequency <sup>1</sup> On arrival of Stroke Unit  7 Critical	Odds Ratio 2.8 (CI 95% 1.68 - 4.68) Based on data from 496 patients in 1 studies. <sup>2</sup> (Randomized controlled) Follow up On arrival at stoke unit	<b>105</b> per 1000	<b>247</b> per 1000	<b>Moderate</b> Due to serious imprecision <sup>3</sup>	Immediate ambulance dispatch increases thrombolysis rate
Thrombolysis frequency <sup>1</sup> On arrival of Stroke Unit  7 Critical	Odds Ratio 2.8 (CI 95% 1.68 - 4.68) Based on data from 496 patients in 1 studies. <sup>2</sup> (Randomized controlled) Follow up On arrival at stoke unit	Difference: <b>142 more</b> per 1000 ( CI 95% 249 more - 60 more )			
Door to needle time Time to thrombolysis  7 Critical	Measured by: Time Lower better Based on data from: 52 patients in 1 studies. <sup>4</sup> (Randomized controlled) Follow up Discharge	<b>57</b> Minutes (Median)	<b>58</b> Minutes (Median)	<b>Moderate</b> Due to serious imprecision <sup>5</sup>	Immediate ambulance dispatch may have little or no difference on door to needle time
Door to needle time Time to thrombolysis  7 Critical	Measured by: Time Lower better Based on data from: 52 patients in 1 studies. <sup>4</sup> (Randomized controlled) Follow up Discharge	Difference: <b>MD 1 higher</b> CI 95%			
Time - call to stroke unit Stroke Unit arrival  7 Critical	Measured by: Time Lower better Based on data from: 245 patients in 1 studies. <sup>6</sup> (Randomized controlled) Follow up Discharge	<b>132</b> Minutes (Median)	<b>106</b> Minutes (Median)	<b>Moderate</b> Due to serious imprecision <sup>7</sup>	Immediate ambulance dispatch decreases time - call to stroke unit
Time - call to stroke unit Stroke Unit arrival  7 Critical	Measured by: Time Lower better Based on data from: 245 patients in 1 studies. <sup>6</sup> (Randomized controlled) Follow up Discharge	Difference: <b>MD 26 lower</b> CI 95%			

1. Assessment with Face-Arm-Speech-Time (FAST)
2. Primary study [7]. **Baseline/comparator::** Primary study .
3. **Inconsistency: No serious . Indirectness: No serious . Imprecision: Serious .** Only data from one study ; **Publication bias: No serious .**
4. Primary study [7]. **Baseline/comparator::** Control arm of reference used for intervention .
5. **Inconsistency: No serious . Indirectness: No serious . Imprecision: Serious .** Only data from one study ; **Publication bias: No**

serious .

6. Primary study [7]. **Baseline/comparator::** Control arm of reference used for intervention .

7. **Inconsistency: No serious . Indirectness: No serious . Imprecision: Serious .** Only data from one study ; **Publication bias: No serious .**

## References

[7] Berglund A., Svensson L., Sjostrand C., von Arbin M., von Euler M., Wahlgren N., Collaborators H, Engerstrom L., Hojeberg B., Kall TB, Mjornheim S., Engqvist A. : Higher prehospital priority level of stroke improves thrombolysis frequency and time to stroke unit: the Hyper Acute STroke Alarm (HASTA) study. Stroke 2012;43(10):2666-70 [Journal](#)

## Strong Recommendation

- a. Ambulance services should preferentially transfer suspected stroke patients to a hospital capable of delivering reperfusion therapies as well as stroke unit care. (O'Brien et al 2012 [15])
- b. Ambulance services should pre-notify the hospital of a suspected stroke case where the patient may be eligible for reperfusion therapies. (O'Brien et al 2012 [15])

## Practical Info

Agreed catchment areas balancing travel distance versus time benefits need to be established for each system. A rapid notification system working in the hospital and prehospital environments needs to be conceived and implemented on an individual system basis.

## Key Info

### Benefits and harms

Substantial net benefits of the recommended alternative

Access to hospitals with stroke unit care and capable of delivering reperfusion therapies ensures that stroke patients have the opportunity of benefiting from the full range of therapy. Several non-randomised studies have shown that early notification by ambulance services reduces the time to administration of reperfusion therapies for those patients who may be eligible (O'Brien et al 2012 [15]; De Luca et al 2009 [13]; Quain 2008 [14]).

### Certainty of the Evidence

Low

The quality of the evidence is considered low as none of the studies were randomised, however results were consistent across the various trials in different settings.

### Preference and values

No substantial variability expected

Suspected stroke patients are likely to prefer to be transferred to hospitals with reperfusion therapies and stroke unit care which have been proven to improve patients' outcome (see Reperfusion therapy and Stroke unit care sections in Acute Medical and Surgical Management), as well as prior notification that enables quick access to reperfusion therapies.

### Resources and other considerations

No important issues with the recommended alternative

#### Resources considerations

No literature to understand or describe the potential economic implications of this recommendation was identified.

#### Implementation consideration

There is a clinical indicator collected in the National Stroke Audit to determine the total number of patients with stroke who were transported by ambulance to a hospital able to provide thrombolysis. This indicator is included in the Acute Stroke Clinical Care Standard, excluding in-hospital strokes and where the time of arrival to the emergency department was greater than 4.5 hours from the time of the patient's stroke onset.

## Rationale

Delivery of the right patient to the right treatment facility with prior notification enables access to reperfusion therapies in the shortest length of time.

---

## Clinical Question/ PICO

<b>Population:</b>	Adults with suspected stroke
<b>Intervention:</b>	Pre-hospital notification system
<b>Comparator:</b>	Conventional care

## Summary

An observational study by O'Brien et al (2012) [15], conducted in an Australian setting, compared outcomes for ischaemic stroke patients before and after the introduction of a pre-hospital assessment and notification protocol. The FASTER protocol including screening of potential patients by ambulance crew, notification of the stroke team and potential bypass to an available stroke unit. Prospectively collected data from the 6 months following the introduction of the protocol was compared to a 6 month period 12 months prior. A significantly greater proportion of patients received thrombolysis in the protocol period (22/115) compared to the control period (5/67). Among patients during the protocol period who were referred, 50% received thrombolysis. Among patients who did receive thrombolysis, there were significant decreases in treatment time measurements such as door-to-needle time and door-to-stroke unit during the protocol period.

Other recent observational studies have assessed the treatment by patients who were or were not pre-notified by ambulance services. In a Scottish acute stroke unit, Khor et al (2015) [17] found that patients with pre-hospital notification had significantly higher rates of CT scanning within 25 minutes, significantly earlier assessment by a stroke physician, and were significantly more likely to receive thrombolysis within 60 minutes. McKinney et al (2013) [16] found that patients with prehospital notification had significantly reduced time to CT completion, CT interpretation, and stroke team arrival, but not decision time or time to tPA treatment.

Although these studies are observational and may have some risk of bias as a result, they suggest that pre-notification produces substantial increases in thrombolysis rates and reductions in treatment time measures.

These results are partly supported by an earlier randomised trial by De Luca et al (2009) [13], who assessed the effectiveness of Emergency Clinical Pathways for stroke in a cluster randomised trial in an Italian emergency system. The intervention included training emergency medical service and emergency room staff in identifying stroke symptoms, inclusion and exclusion criteria for thrombolysis, and referral to the stroke unit when appropriate. Intention to treat analysis showed a non-significant increase in the number of eligible patients referred to the stroke unit (24.4% in the intervention arm vs 13.1% in the control arm), and a significant increase in the number of eligible patients receiving thrombolysis (8.6% intervention vs 1.7% control). However, long distances to the stroke unit and lack of available ambulances meant that patients in one province were withdrawn from the trial, meaning approximately 20% of stroke cases were lost to follow-up. Higher rates of referral and thrombolysis were seen in the intervention group in the per-protocol analysis that excluded these subjects.

In another trial aimed at assessing pre-hospital care pathways, Quain et al (2008) [14] carried out a prospective cohort study using historical controls. The intervention included training of ambulance officers, development of a pre-hospital stroke assessment tool and a pre-hospital notification system to allow for bypass of hospitals without stroke thrombolysis capability. Compared to the pre-intervention period, significantly more ischaemic stroke patients received intravenous tPA therapy (21.4% intervention vs 4.7% control,  $p < 0.001$ ). Time from symptom onset to hospital arrival also showed a significant 1-hour reduction in the intervention period. As an observational study with historical controls, there is only low to moderate certainty regarding the extent of the observed benefits, but the findings do provide some additional support for the benefits of pre-hospital care pathways.

Outcome Timeframe	Study results and measurements	Absolute effect estimates		Certainty of the Evidence (Quality of evidence)	Plain text summary
		Conventional care	Pre-hospital notification		
Received thrombolysis During study period: 6 months  9 Critical	n/a  Based on data from 182 patients in 1 studies. <sup>1</sup> (Observational (non- randomized)) Follow up 6 months	<b>70</b> per 1000  Difference: <b>120 more</b> per 1000	<b>190</b> per 1000	<b>Low</b> The difference was significant (p = 0.03) <sup>2</sup>	Pre-hospital notification may improve the number of stroke patients receiving thrombolysis
Door to needle time - patients receiving tPA  8 Critical	Measured by: Door-to- needle time (minutes) High better Based on data from: 34 patients in 1 studies. <sup>3</sup> (Observational (non- randomized)) Follow up 6 months	<b>102</b> minutes (Mean)  Difference: <b>MD 46 lower</b> n/a	<b>56</b> minutes (Mean)	<b>Low</b> The difference was significant (p = 0.001)	Pre-hospital notification may decrease door to needle time for patients who receive tPA

1. Primary study [15]. **Baseline/comparator::** Control arm of reference used for intervention .
2. **Risk of bias: No serious** . Non-randomised trial but unlikely to see randomised trials of this protocol, control (same period 12 months prior) seems reasonable ; **Inconsistency: No serious** . **Indirectness: No serious** . **Imprecision: No serious** . **Publication bias: No serious** .
3. Primary study [15]. **Baseline/comparator::** Control arm of reference used for intervention .

## References

- [13] De Luca A, Toni D, Lauria L, Sacchetti ML, Giorgi Rossi P, Ferri M, Puca E, Prencipe M, Guasticchi G : An emergency clinical pathway for stroke patients--results of a cluster randomised trial (isrctn41456865).. *BMC health services research* 2009;9 14 [Pubmed Journal](#)
- [14] Quain DA, Parsons MW, Loudfoot AR, Spratt NJ, Evans MK, Russell ML, Royan AT, Moore AG, Miteff F, Hullick CJ, Attia J, McElduff P, Levi CR : Improving access to acute stroke therapies: a controlled trial of organised pre-hospital and emergency care.. *The Medical journal of Australia* 2008;189(8):429-33 [Pubmed](#)
- [15] O'Brien W, Crimmins D, Donaldson W, Risti R, Clarke TA, Whyte S, Sturm J : FASTER (Face, Arm, Speech, Time, Emergency Response): experience of Central Coast Stroke Services implementation of a pre-hospital notification system for expedient management of acute stroke.. *Journal of clinical neuroscience : official journal of the Neurosurgical Society of Australasia* 2012;19(2):241-5 [Pubmed Journal](#)
- [16] McKinney JS, Mylavarapu K, Lane J, Roberts V, Ohman-Strickland P, Merlin MA : Hospital prenotification of stroke patients by emergency medical services improves stroke time targets.. *Journal of stroke and cerebrovascular diseases : the official journal of National Stroke Association* 2013;22(2):113-8 [Pubmed Journal](#)
- [17] Khor MX, Bown A, Barrett A, Counsell CE, MacLeod MJ, Reid JM : Pre-hospital notification is associated with improved stroke thrombolysis timing.. *The journal of the Royal College of Physicians of Edinburgh* 2015;45(3):190-5 [Pubmed Journal](#)

Recommendation Strength Not Set

**Practice point**

General practitioners are encouraged to educate reception staff in the FAST stroke recognition message and to redirect any calls about suspected acute stroke to 000.

## Glossary and abbreviations

### Glossary

**Activities of daily living:** The basic elements of personal care such as eating, washing and showering, grooming, walking, standing up from a chair and using the toilet.

**Activity:** The execution of a task or action by an individual. Activity limitations are difficulties an individual may have in executing activities.

**Agnosia:** The inability to recognise sounds, smells, objects or body parts (other people's or one's own) despite having no primary sensory deficits.

**Aphasia:** Impairment of language, affecting the production or comprehension of speech and the ability to read and write.

**Apraxia:** Impaired planning and sequencing of movement that is not due to weakness, incoordination or sensory loss.

**Apraxia of speech:** Inability to produce clear speech due to impaired planning and sequencing of movement in the muscles used for speech.

**Atrial fibrillation:** Rapid, irregular beating of the heart.

**Augmentative and alternative communication:** Non-verbal communication, e.g. through gestures or by using computerised devices.

**Central register:** collection of large dataset related to patients' diagnoses, treatments and outcomes

**Cochrane review:** a comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis published online in Cochrane library, internationally recognized as the highest standard in evidence-based health care resources

**Deep vein thrombosis:** Thrombosis (a clot of blood) in the deep veins of the leg, arm, or abdomen.

**Disability:** A defect in performing a normal activity or action (e.g. inability to dress or walk).

**Drip and ship:** A model of thrombolysis service provision that involves assessment of patients at a non-specialist centres with telemedicine support by stroke specialists, commencing thrombolysis (if deemed appropriate) and subsequent transfer to the stroke specialist centre.

**Dyad:** involvement of both patients and their caregivers

**Dysarthria:** Impaired ability to produce clear speech due to the impaired function of the speech muscles.

**Dysphagia:** Difficulty swallowing.

**Dysphasia:** Reduced ability to communicate using language (spoken, written or gesture).

**Emotionalism:** An increase in emotional behaviour—usually crying, but sometimes laughing that is outside normal control and may be unpredictable as a result of the stroke.

**Endovascular thrombectomy** (also called mechanical thrombectomy or endovascular clot retrieval): a minimally invasive procedure performed via angiogram, in which a catheter passes up into the brain to remove the clot in the blocked blood vessel.

**Enteral tube feeding:** Delivery of nutrients directly into the intestine via a tube.

**Executive function:** Cognitive functions usually associated with the frontal lobes including planning, reasoning, time perception, complex goal-directed behaviour, decision making and working memory.

**Family support / liaison worker:** A person who assists stroke survivors and their families to achieve improved quality of life by providing psychosocial support, information and referrals to other stroke service providers.

**Impairment:** A problem in the structure of the body (e.g. loss of a limb) or the way the body or a body part functions (e.g. hemiplegia).

**Infarction:** Death of cells in an organ (e.g. the brain or heart) due to lack of blood supply.

**Inpatient stroke care coordinator:** A person who works with people with stroke and with their carers to construct care plans and discharge plans and to help coordinate the use of healthcare services during recovery in hospital.

**Interdisciplinary team:** group of health care professionals (including doctors, nurses, therapists, social workers, psychologists and other health personnel) working collaboratively for the common good of the patient.

**Ischaemia:** An inadequate flow of blood to part of the body due to blockage or constriction of the arteries that supply it.

**Neglect:** The failure to attend or respond to or make movements towards one side of the environment.

**Participation:** Involvement in a life situation.

**Participation restrictions:** Problems an individual may experience in involvement in life situations.

**Penumbra-based imaging:** brain imaging that uses advanced MRI or CT angiography imaging to detect parts of the brain where the blood supply has been compromised but the tissue is still viable.

**Percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG):** A form of enteral feeding in which nutrition is delivered via a tube that is surgically inserted into the stomach through the skin.

**Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme (PBS):** A scheme whereby the costs of prescription medicine are subsidised by the Australian Government to make them more affordable.

**Phonological deficits:** Language deficits characterised by impaired recognition and/or selection of speech sounds.

**Pulmonary embolism:** Blockage of the pulmonary artery (which carries blood from the heart to the lungs) with a solid material, usually a blood clot or fat, that has travelled there via the circulatory system.

**Rehabilitation:** Restoration of the disabled person to optimal physical and psychological functional independence.

**Risk factor:** A characteristic of a person (or people) that is positively associated with a particular disease or condition.

**Stroke unit:** A section of a hospital dedicated to comprehensive acute and/or rehabilitation programs for people with a stroke.

**Stroke:** Sudden and unexpected damage to brain cells that causes symptoms that last for more than 24 hours in the parts of the body controlled by those cells. Stroke happens when the blood supply to part of the brain is suddenly disrupted, either by blockage of an artery or by bleeding within the brain.

**Task-specific training:** Training that involves repetition of a functional task or part of the task.

**Transient ischaemic attack:** Stroke-like symptoms that last for a short time period. While TIA is not actually a stroke, it has the same cause. A TIA may be the precursor to a stroke, and people who have had a TIA require urgent assessment and intervention to prevent stroke.

## Abbreviations

ACE	Angiotensin-converting enzyme
ADL	Activities of daily living
AF	Atrial fibrillation
AFO	Ankle foot orthosis
BAO	Basilar artery occlusion
BI	Barthel Index
BMI	Body mass index
BP	Blood pressure
CEA	Carotid endarterectomy
CEMRA	Contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance angiography
CI	Confidence interval
CIMT	Constraint induced movement therapy
CT	Computed tomography
CTA	Computed tomography angiography
CVD	Cardiovascular disease
DALY	Disability-adjusted life years
DBP	Diastolic blood pressure
DOAC	Direct oral anticoagulant
DSA	Digital subtraction angiography
DUS	Doppler ultrasonography
DVT	Deep vein thrombosis
DWI	Diffusion-weighted imaging
ECG	Electrocardiography
ED	Emergency department
EMG	Electromyographic feedback
EMS	Emergency medical services

ESD	Early supported discharge
ESS	European Stroke Scale
FAST	Face, Arm, Speech, Time
FEES	Fibre-optic endoscopic examination of swallowing
FeSS	Fever, Sugar, Swallowing
FFP	Fresh frozen plasma
FIM	Functional independence measure
GP	General practitioner
HR	Hazard ratio
HRQOL	Health related quality of life
HRT	Hormone replacement therapy
IA	Intra-arterial
ICH	Intracerebral haemorrhage
ICU	Intensive care unit
INR	International normalised ratio
IPC	Intermittent pneumatic compression
IV	Intravenous
LMWH	Low molecular weight heparin
LOS	Length of stay
MCA	Middle cerebral artery
MD	Mean difference
MI	Myocardial infarction
MNA	Mini Nutritional Assessment
MR	Magnetic resonance
MRA	Magnetic resonance angiography
MRI	Magnetic resonance imaging

mRS	Modified rankin scale
MST	Malnutrition screening tool
MUST	Malnutrition universal screening tool
N	Number of participants in a trial
NASCET	North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial
NG	Nasogastric
NHMRC	National Health and Medical Research Council
NIHSS	National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale
NMES	Neuromuscular electrical stimulation
NNH	Numbers needed to harm
NNT	Numbers needed to treat
OR	Odds ratio
OT	Occupational therapist
PBS	Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme
PE	Pulmonary embolism
PEG	Percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy
PFO	Patent foramen ovale
PPV	Positive predictive value
QALYs	Quality-adjusted life years
QOL	Quality of life
RCT	Randomised controlled trial
rFVIIa	recombinant activated factor VII
RHS	Right hemisphere syndrome
ROC	Receiver operator curve
ROM	Range of motion
ROSIER	Recognition of stroke in the emergency room

RR	Relative risk
RRR	Relative risk reduction
rTMS	repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation
rt-PA	Recombinant tissue plasminogen activator
SBP	Systolic blood pressure
SC	Subcutaneous
SD	Standard deviation
SE	Standard error
SES	Standardised effect size
SGA	Subjective global assessment
sICH	symptomatic intracerebral haemorrhage
SMD	Standardised mean difference
SSS	Scandinavian stroke scale
TEE	Transoesophageal echocardiography
TIA	Transient ischaemic attack
TOE	Transoesophageal echocardiography
TOR-BSST	Toronto Bedside Swallowing Screening test
tPA	Tissue plasminogen activator
TTE	Transthoracic echocardiography
UFH	Unfractionated heparin
UK	United Kingdom
UL	Upper limb
VF or VFS	Videofluoroscopy
VR	Virtual reality
VTE	Venous thromboembolism
WMD	Weighted mean difference



## References

- [1] Thrift AG, Hayman N : Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples and the burden of stroke.. International journal of stroke : official journal of the International Stroke Society 2007;2(1):57-9 [PubMed Journal](#)
- [2] National Stroke Foundation : National Stroke Unit Program. Aboriginal Stroke Project.. 2003;
- [3] Kilkenny MF, Harris DM, Ritchie EA, Price C, Cadilhac DA, : Hospital management and outcomes of stroke in Indigenous Australians: evidence from the 2009 Acute Care National Stroke Audit.. International journal of stroke : official journal of the International Stroke Society 2013;8(3):164-71 [PubMed Journal](#)
- [4] Australian Institute of Health and Welfare : Stroke and its management in Australia: an update. 2013;
- [5] National Vascular Disease Prevention Alliance : Guidelines for the management of absolute cardiovascular disease risk. 2012;
- [6] Heart Foundation : Guideline for the diagnosis and management of hypertension in adults . 2016;
- [7] Berglund A., Svensson L., Sjostrand C., von Arbin M., von Euler M., Wahlgren N., Collaborators H, Engerstrom L., Hojeberg B., Kall TB, Mjornheim S., Engqvist A. : Higher prehospital priority level of stroke improves thrombolysis frequency and time to stroke unit: the Hyper Acute STroke Alarm (HASTA) study. Stroke 2012;43(10):2666-70 [Journal](#)
- [8] Ebinger M., Winter B., Wendt M., Weber JE, Waldschmidt C., Rozanski M., Kunz A., Koch P., Kellner PA, Gierhake D., Villringer K., Fiebach JB, Grittner U., Hartmann A., Mackert BM, Endres M., Audebert HJ, Consortium S : Effect of the use of ambulance-based thrombolysis on time to thrombolysis in acute ischemic stroke: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2014;311(16):1622-31 [Journal Website](#)
- [9] Hougaard KD, Hjort N., Zeidler D., L SO, A NO, Hansen TM, Von Weitzel-Mudersbach P., Simonsen CZ, Damgaard D., Gottrup H., Svendsen K., Rasmussen PV, Ribe LR, Mikkelsen IK, Nagenthiraja K., Cho TH, Redington AN, He BO, Ostergaard L., Mouridsen K., Andersen G. : Remote ischemic preconditioning as an adjunct therapy to thrombolysis in patients with acute ischemic stroke: A randomized trial. Stroke; a journal of cerebral circulation 2014;45 159-67 [Journal Website](#)
- [10] Saver JL, Starkman S., Eckstein M., Stratton SJ, Pratt FD, Hamilton S., Conwit R., Liebeskind DS, Sung G., Kramer I., Moreau G., Goldweber R., Sanossian N., Investigators F-M, Coordinators : Prehospital use of magnesium sulfate as neuroprotection in acute stroke. New England Journal of Medicine 2015;372 528-36 [Journal Website](#)
- [11] Walter S., Kostopoulos P., Haass A., Keller I., Lesmeister M., Schlechtriemen T., Roth C., Papanagiotou P., Grunwald I., Schumacher H., Helwig S., Viera J., Körner H., Alexandrou M., Yilmaz U., Ziegler K., Schmidt K., Dabew R., Kubulus D., Liu Y., Volk T., Kronfeld K., Ruckes C., Bertsch T., Reith W., Fassbender K. : Diagnosis and treatment of patients with stroke in a mobile stroke unit versus in hospital: a randomised controlled trial. The Lancet. Neurology 2012;11(5):397-404 [Journal Website](#)
- [12] Wendt M., Ebinger M., Kunz A., Rozanski M., Waldschmidt C., Weber JE, Winter B., Koch PM, Freitag E., Reich J., Schremmer D., Audebert HJ, Consortium S : Improved prehospital triage of patients with stroke in a specialized stroke ambulance: results of the pre-hospital acute neurological therapy and optimization of medical care in stroke study. Stroke 2015;46(3):740-5 [Journal](#)
- [13] De Luca A, Toni D, Lauria L, Sacchetti ML, Giorgi Rossi P, Ferri M, Puca E, Prencipe M, Guasticchi G : An emergency clinical pathway for stroke patients--results of a cluster randomised trial (isrctn41456865).. BMC health services research 2009;9 14 [PubMed Journal](#)
- [14] Quain DA, Parsons MW, Loudfoot AR, Spratt NJ, Evans MK, Russell ML, Royan AT, Moore AG, Miteff F, Hullick CJ, Attia J, McElduff P, Levi CR : Improving access to acute stroke therapies: a controlled trial of organised pre-hospital and emergency care.. The Medical journal of Australia 2008;189(8):429-33 [PubMed](#)
- [15] O'Brien W, Crimmins D, Donaldson W, Risti R, Clarke TA, Whyte S, Sturm J : FASTER (Face, Arm, Speech, Time, Emergency

Response): experience of Central Coast Stroke Services implementation of a pre-hospital notification system for expedient management of acute stroke.. *Journal of clinical neuroscience : official journal of the Neurosurgical Society of Australasia* 2012;19(2):241-5 [Pubmed Journal](#)

[16] McKinney JS, Mylavarapu K, Lane J, Roberts V, Ohman-Strickland P, Merlin MA : Hospital prenotification of stroke patients by emergency medical services improves stroke time targets.. *Journal of stroke and cerebrovascular diseases : the official journal of National Stroke Association* 2013;22(2):113-8 [Pubmed Journal](#)

[17] Khor MX, Bown A, Barrett A, Counsell CE, MacLeod MJ, Reid JM : Pre-hospital notification is associated with improved stroke thrombolysis timing.. *The journal of the Royal College of Physicians of Edinburgh* 2015;45(3):190-5 [Pubmed Journal](#)

[18] National Stroke Foundation : National Stroke Audit - Acute Services Report. 2015;

[19] Dietrich M, Walter S, Ragoschke-Schumm A, Helwig S, Levine S, Balucani C, Lesmeister M, Haass A, Liu Y, Lossius H-M, Fassbender K : Is prehospital treatment of acute stroke too expensive? An economic evaluation based on the first trial.. *Cerebrovascular diseases (Basel, Switzerland)* 2014;38(6):457-63 [Pubmed Journal](#)